

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING E	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,038	08/06/2	2003	Gurtej S. Sandhu	MI22-2194	9972
21567	7590	04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	T. JOHN P.S.	FULLER, PRIC B			
	ST AVENUE, S , WA 99201	UITE 1300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Si Old iive	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•	1762	
				TO A THE AAA IT CID. DAID A PIDDS	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/636,038	SANDHU, GURTEJ S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Eric B. Fuller	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2005.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-18 and 28-43 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.      Reliept and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/636,038

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1, claims 1-18 and 28-43, in the reply filed on January 13, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 19-27 have been withdrawn from further consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 and 28-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean et al. (US 2003/0186515 A1) in view of the applicant's admitted prior art.

Dean teaches an ALD process for forming hafnium dioxide [0043] or aluminum oxide [0044]. The first reactant is a metal halide [0043]. The second reactant is oxygen or ozone [0031]. The reference teaches that undesirable substrate oxides may be removed by flowing gaseous HF into the chamber [0063-0065]. The reference teaches this step as a pre-treatment and thus fails to explicitly teach it being performed as a step in the ALD cycle. However, it is explicitly taught that chemisorption of the first reactant may not cover the substrate entirely [0021]. The applicant admits that it is known that

undesirable substrate oxides result when chemisoption of the first reactant is incomplete (page 4, lines 1-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the HF flow as a step in at least some of the ALD cycles. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of removing the undesirable substrate oxides that form during the oxidation step.

As to the dependent claims, the reference teaches that the oxide that is to be removed is silicon dioxide [0063]. The coating may be HfO or HfO<sub>2</sub> [0043]. The "at least some of the ALD cycles" reads on not using it some cycles. Claims 16-18 claim properties of the first reactant. Since the reactants of the reference and the present invention are the same, these claims must be inherent. The reference teaches other types of film being formed by the same process, such as TiN [0033]. The obviousness of removing formed impurities during the process can be extended to films such as these.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,038 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EBF

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER